

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested. Entry of this Amendment Under Rule 116 is merited as it raises no new issues and requires no further search.

Applicant's attorney thanks the Examiner for the courtesies extended during an in-person interview held on November 2, 2004. The interview discussion focused on the subject matter of claims 5, 19, 23, 26, and 27 and the application of the claimed subject matter to the Miyai, Korinsky, and Johnson reference combination. Applicant's attorney pointed out that the Examiner has failed to identify the foregoing subject matter limitations in any of the applied references in the Final Official Action dated September 22, 2004. The Examiner conceded that the limitations were neither addressed in the Final Official Action nor present in the applied references and merited consideration by the Examiner. The Examiner objected to the claim 27 term "exactly" and stated that either the term "solely" or "only" would be more acceptable in his opinion. Further discussions were had concerning the Examiner's possible 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 1.

Claims 8-17 and 19-27 remain pending. Claims 1-7 and 28 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer and without acceding to the Examiner's arguments regarding patentability. Claims 26 and 27 have been amended to depend from claim 19. Claims 8 and 11 have been amended to incorporate limitations similar to claim 19. Claim 27 has been amended to overcome the Examiner's objection to the term "exactly."

The Drawing and specification objections are respectfully requested to be withdrawn based on the foregoing claim amendments.

Based on the cancellation of claims 1-7 and 28, the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 1-7 and 28 is no longer applicable.

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, claims 8-17, and 19-27 are believed patentable over Miyai et al. (U.S. Patent 5,139,319) in view of Korinsky et al. (U.S. Patent 5,964,513) and Johnson (U.S. Patent 3,803,670). At a minimum, none of the references, either singly or in combination, describe a side panel remaining visible around the majority of the periphery of a cover panel. For at least this reason, the rejection of claims 8-17, and 19-27 is

respectfully requested to be withdrawn.

All objections and rejections having been addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application should be in condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 07-1337 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP



Randy A. Noranbrock
Registration No. 42,940

Customer Number: 22429
1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 300
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(703) 684-1111
(703) 518-5499 Facsimile
Date: December 16, 2004
KMB/RAN/iyr